(b) While the Tibetan refugees have been permitted to settle since 1959, Chakma refugees came in 1964-65.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Doss not arise.

Inquiry into firing incident near Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi

1375. SHRI A. NALLASIVAN: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether any enquiry was made into the incident of Police firing near Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi on 25th September, 1990 following agitation by students and others against implementation of Mandal Commission Report;

(b) if so, the outcome thereof;

(c) whether the death of a young man, named Aditya Narain in Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi on 14th October, 1990 was enquired into; and

(d) if so, whether any action has been taken against the police official who had reportedly fired on Shri Aditya Narain, if so, the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): (a) and (b) No magisterial enquiry into the police firing near Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi on 25th September, 1990 has been conducted.

(c) and (d) Following a complaint from Shri R. N. Sharma, regarding the death of his son, Shri Aditya Narain, in the police firing, the Commissioner of Police ordered the complaint to be enquired into by Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police (South-West District.)

The Delhi police have reported that the circumstances on the morning of 25th September, 1990 as brought out by the enquiry **are** as follows:—

There were several incidents of violences, arson stoning and forcible closure of markets in the Sarojini Nagar area. A Sub-Inspector and a Constable were confronted by a violent mob near Sarojini Nagar. Finding themselves mobbed by the riotous assembly and after attempts at persuasion failed, a warning shot was fired in the air and thereafter the Sub-Inspector resorted to firing from his revolver. Shri Aditya Narain Sharma was injured in the firing and subsequently died on 14th October, 1990. The Sub-Inspector was transferred.

Non-working .elephone of a Member of Parliament

1376. SHRI NYYODEK YONGGAM: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the telephone No. 320 Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh meant for one of the Members of Parliament of Arunachal Pradesh is not working since February, 1991; and

(b) if so, what is the reasons therefor?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) No, Sir. However, telephone went out of order frequently and remained interrupted for quite some time during March, 1991 to June, 1991.

(b) The Telephone is provided on overhead lines of considerable length (23 Km) and passing through jungles which makes it vulnerable for breakdown. Patrolling of line, sectionalizing and restoration takes some time due to difficult terrain.

Withdrawal of buses on route Number 920 and 973

1377. CHOWDHRY HARI SINGH: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANS-PORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some DTC buses or DTC-controlled private buses on routes 920 and 973 used to start from Kendriya Terminal at different times in the evenings for the benefit of commuters for the homeward journeys;